## Fused-Core<sup>®</sup> Particles: Varying Shell Thickness and Pore Size

<u>Stephanie A. Schuster</u>; Joseph J. Kirkland; Brian M. Wagner; Barry E. Boyes; William L. Johnson; Timothy J. Langlois; Joseph J. DeStefano

Advanced Materials Technology, Wilmington, DE 19810 USA

# Overview

- Fused-core particles
  - Changes to pore size
  - Changes to shell thickness
- Conclusions
- Future Directions



# Fused-Core Particles: Varying Pore Size



x (µm)	у (µm)	d <sub>p</sub> (µm)	Pore Size (Å)	Surface Area (m²/g)
1.7	0.5	2.7	90	150
1.7	0.5	2.7	160	80



# Particle Size Distributions





# Effect of Pore Size on Peptide and Small Protein Separations



- 1. Leu-enk (555 g/mol)
- 2. Bovine Insulin (5733 g/mol)
- 3. Human Insulin (5808 g/mol)
- 4. Cytochrome C (12,400 g/mol)
- 5. Lysozyme (14,300 g/mol)

Columns:  $4.6 \times 100 \text{ mm}$ Flow rate: 1.5 mL/minTemperature:  $30^{\circ}$  C A: 0.1% TFA/10% ACN, B: 0.1% TFA/70% ACN Gradient: 15% to 50% B in 15 min. Injection volume:  $5 \mu$  L Detection: 220 nm



#### Effect of Pore Size on Efficiency







## High Mobile Phase Velocity LC/MS Analysis of a Tryptic Digest



Halo Peptide ES-C18, 0.2 mm ID x 50 mm, Flow Rate 9 µL/min., 2-45% B in 15 minutes, 3 pmol apoMyoglobin digest in 2 µL; A: 0.1 % Formic Acid/10 mM Ammonium Formate B: 0.1% Formic acid in Acetonitrile



# Fused-Core Particles: Varying Shell Thickness



X (µm)	y (µm)	d <sub>p</sub> (µm)	Surface Area (m²/g)	Pore Size (Å)
1.7	0.5	2.7	80	160
1.7	0.3	2.3	49	160



# Particle Size Distributions





#### Effect of Shell Thickness on Sample Loading



**Detection:** 220 nm **LC System:** Agilent 1100 **Sample:** Luteinizing Hormone-Releasing Hormone (LH-RH) MW = 1182



#### Effect of Shell Thickness on Efficiency



**Columns:** 4.6 x 50 mm SP-C8, 2.7 μm, 0.5 μm shell, 160 Å and 2.3 μm, 0.3 μm shell, 160 Å **Mobile Phase:** 27.4% ACN/72.6% Water/0.1% TFA **Temperature:** 60 °C **Detection:** 215 nm



#### Effect of Shell Thickness on Efficiency



Columns: 4.6 x 50 mm SP-C8, 2.7  $\mu$ m, 0.5  $\mu$ m shell, 160 Å and 2.3  $\mu$ m, 0.3  $\mu$ m shell, 160 Å Mobile Phase: 24.5% ACN/75.5% Water/0.1% TFA Temperature: 60 °C Detection: 215 nm



#### Effect of Shell Thickness on Efficiency



Mobile Phase Velocity, mm/sec

**Columns:** 4.6 x 50 mm SP-C8, 2.7 μm, 0.5 μm shell, 160 Å and 2.3 μm, 0.3 μm shell, 160 Å **Mobile Phase:** RNase A: 24.5% ACN/75.5% Water/0.1% TFA β-amyloid (1-38): 27.4% ACN/72.6% Water/0.1% TFA **Temperature:** 60 °C **Detection:** 215 nm



#### Effect of Shell Thickness on Peak Capacity



Flow rate: 2.4 mL/min

🤕 advancedmaterialstechnology

# Conclusions

- Increasing the pore size of the Fused-core particles improves the mass transfer for larger molecular weight solutes
- Different property Fused-core particles can be produced with extremely narrow size distributions
- Decreased shell thickness improves the mass transfer for larger molecular weight solutes
  - Degree of improvement is a function of molecular size; analytic details under investigation (diffusion dependence)
  - Sample load/retention decreased by lower surface area



# **Future Studies**

- Investigate the effect of even larger pores on particle characteristics for separating large molecules
- 2) Explore the advantages and disadvantages of smaller fused-core particles
- 3) Determine the practical role of shell thickness for(1) and (2)



# Acknowledgements

- Advice and insight from
  - Jack Kirkland
  - Barry Boyes
  - Joe DeStefano
  - Tim Langlois
  - Brian Wagner
- Financial support from SB-IR grant Z-R44-GM077688-02

